Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics

On Longitudinal Item Response Theory Models: A Didactic



Chun Wang University of Washington



Steven W. Nydick *Duolingo*

Reporter: Yingshi Huang

• How to determine student growth?



We want to model the changes in latent traits
 from item response theory (IRT) model → single time point
 to longitudinal IRT models (L-IRT) → multiple time points

- The family of longitudinal IRT
 - measurement model
 - the relationship of the latent traits over time

- The family of longitudinal IRT
 - measurement model
 - the relationship of the latent traits over time
 - 1. Unidimensional model: one latent trait (e.g., math ability)
 - 2. Multidimensional model: multiple latent traits (e.g., math, reading, science)
 - 3. Hierarchical model



- The family of longitudinal IRT
 - measurement model
 - the relationship of the latent traits over time
 - 3. Hierarchical model



- Content coverage shifts across times: many domains only appear in limited grades
- Different growth patterns: certain domain-level traits grow linearly, whereas others grow in a piecewise fashion

- The family of longitudinal IRT
 - measurement model
 - the relationship of the latent traits over time

1. unstructured covariance matrix

2. latent growth curve (LGC) models

ability at time t = intercept + slope*time effect + error

	ability_t1	abilit	y_t2	ability_	t3 a	ability_t4
ability_t1						
	\vdash (σ_1^2	σ_{12}	σ_{13}	•••	σ_{1T}
ability_t2		σ_{12}	σ_2^2	σ_{23}	•••	σ_{2T}
	$\Sigma =$	σ_{13}	σ_{12}	σ_3^2	•••	σ_{3T}
ability t3		•	:	•	·	:
ability_to		σ_{1T}	σ_{2T}	σ_{3T}	•••	σ_T^2
ability_t4						I

- The family of longitudinal IRT
 - measurement model
 - the relationship of the latent traits over time

• This paper:

introduce three models with the latent growth curve structure

(LGC is more interpretable & more complicated than unstructured covariance matrix)

- 1. Longitudinal unidimensional IRT
- 2. Longitudinal multidimensional IRT
- 3. Longitudinal higher-order IRT

- Longitudinal unidimensional IRT (L-UIRT) model
 - One time point:

$$\Pr(Y_{ij} = 1 | \theta_i, a_j, b_j)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-a_j(\theta_i - b_j)]}$$



Math ability

- Longitudinal unidimensional IRT (L-UIRT) model
 - One time point:

$$\Pr(Y_{ij} = 1 | \theta_i, a_j, b_j)$$

=
$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp[-a_j(\theta_i - b_j)]}$$



Multiple time points:

$$\Pr(Y_{ij}^t = 1 | \theta_i^t, a_j^t, b_j^t) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-a_j^t(\theta_i^t - b_j^t)]}$$



Fixed effects

(p)

• The relationship of the latent traits over time

(q)



 $\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\nu}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\delta}_{i} \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} = \{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}^{t}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}^{T}\} \text{ (T-by-1 vector)}$ Random effects $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i} \text{ Residuals (T-by-1 vector)}$

• The relationship of the latent traits over time



Design matrix (T-by-p and T-by-q)

 $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\nu}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\delta}_{i}$ Fixed effects Random effects (q)

 $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} = \{\theta_{i}^{1}, \dots, \theta_{i}^{t}, \dots, \theta_{i}^{T}\} \text{ (T-by-1 vector)}$ $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i} \text{ Residuals (T-by-1 vector)}$ Example: a simple linear growth model with a single person-specific intercept and slope (p = q = 2) $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & T - 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\theta_i^t = \pi_{0i} + \pi_{1i} \times (t - 1) + \delta_i^t$ $\pi_{0i} = \beta_0 + \mathbf{v}_{0i}$ $\pi_{1i} = \beta_1 + \mathbf{v}_{1i}$ $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \{\beta_0, \beta_1\}$ $\boldsymbol{v} = \{v_{0i}, v_{1i}\}$ $\sim \text{multinormal}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_v)$ $\Sigma_v = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{v_0}^2 & \sigma_{v_0v_1} \\ \sigma_{v_0v_1} & \sigma_{v_1}^2 \end{bmatrix}$

• Three time points, one latent trait



$$\theta_{i}^{t} = \pi_{0i} + \pi_{1i} \times (t - 1) + \delta_{i}^{t}$$

 $\pi_{0i} = \beta_{0} + \nu_{0i}$

 $\pi_{1i} = \beta_{1} + \nu_{1i}$

$$\Pr\left(Y_{ij}^{t} = 1 | \theta_{i}^{t}, a_{j}^{t}, b_{j}^{t}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left[-a_{j}^{t}\left(\theta_{i}^{t} - b_{j}^{t}\right)\right]}$$

• The scale of parameters: linking



- Different items may be used at different time points

 $\Pr\left(Y_{ij}^{t} = 1 | \theta_{i}^{t}, a_{j}^{t}, b_{j}^{t}\right)$ Low ability
Person ability
Item parameters
+ easy que

Low ability High ability + easy question + hard question



• The scale of parameters: linking



- Different items may be used at different time points

Item parameters

 $\Pr\left(Y_{ij}^t = 1 | \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^t, \boldsymbol{a}_j^t, \boldsymbol{b}_j^t\right)$

Person ability



• The scale of parameters: identifiability



- Within a specific time point: item part and person part still mix together

$$\Pr\left(Y_{ij}^{t}=1|\theta_{i}^{t},a_{j}^{t},b_{j}^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp\left[-a_{j}^{t}\left(\theta_{i}^{t}-b_{j}^{t}\right)\right]}$$

Impose some constraints: fix the scale of one part at one time point (person ability at time 1) 1. mean [= 0]

2. variance [= constant]

• The scale of parameters: identifiability



- Within a specific time point: item part and person part still mix together

$$\Pr\left(Y_{ij}^{t}=1|\theta_{i}^{t},a_{j}^{t},b_{j}^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp\left[-a_{j}^{t}\left(\theta_{i}^{t}-b_{j}^{t}\right)\right]}$$

Impose some constraints:
 fix the scale of one part at one time point (person ability at time 1)
 1. mean [= 0]

2. variance [= constant]

All of the residuals having mean 0 $E(\delta_i^t) = 0$ $\theta_i^t = \pi_{0i} + \pi_{1i} \times (t - 1) + \delta_i^t$ $\pi_{0i} = \beta_0 + \nu_{0i}$ $\pi_{1i} = \beta_1 + \nu_{1i}$

• The scale of parameters: identifiability



- Within a specific time point: item part and person part still mix together

$$\Pr\left(Y_{ij}^{t}=1|\theta_{i}^{t},a_{j}^{t},b_{j}^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp\left[-a_{j}^{t}\left(\theta_{i}^{t}-b_{j}^{t}\right)\right]}$$



 $\begin{array}{c} \text{mean} & \text{variance} \\ \theta_i^t = \overbrace{\pi_{0i}}^{t} + \overbrace{\pi_{1i}}^{t} \times (t-1) + \overbrace{\delta_i^t}^{t} \\ \mu_{\pi_{0i}} = \beta_0 = 0 & \sigma_{\delta_i^{(1)}}^2 = c_1 \end{array}$

• The scale of parameters: identifiability



- Within a specific time point: item part and person part still mix together

$$\Pr\left(Y_{ij}^{t}=1|\theta_{i}^{t},a_{j}^{t},b_{j}^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp\left[-a_{j}^{t}\left(\theta_{i}^{t}-b_{j}^{t}\right)\right]}$$

If the anchor items have been pre-calibrated (i.e., we have a scale of item parameters) Then only the constraint of expected δ_i^t is needed $E(\delta_i^t) = 0$

• Longitudinal multidimensional IRT (L-MIRT) model



More than one ability were involved (K dimensions)

• The relationship of the latent traits over time



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\theta}_{i} &= \mathbf{X}\mathbf{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{\nu}_{i} + \mathbf{\delta}_{i} \\ \mathbf{\theta}_{i} &= (\mathbf{\theta}_{i1}^{1}, \dots, \mathbf{\theta}_{iK}^{1}, \dots, \mathbf{\theta}_{i1}^{T}, \dots, \mathbf{\theta}_{iK}^{T})' \quad KT\text{-by-1 vector} \\ K \text{ dimensions} \end{aligned}$$

• The relationship of the latent traits over time



 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\theta}_{i} &= \mathbf{X}\mathbf{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{\nu}_{i} + \mathbf{\delta}_{i} \quad KT \times 1 \\ Kp \times 1 & Kq \times 1 \\ &\sim \text{multinormal}(0, \Sigma_{\nu}) \text{ where } \Sigma_{\nu} \text{ is a full matrix} \end{aligned}$

K intercepts K slopes for the first fixed covariate, K slopes for the second fixed covariate

. . .

• The relationship of the latent traits over time



 $\mathbf{\theta}_i = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{\nu}_i + \mathbf{\delta}_i$

Example: a simple linear trajectory without any additional covariates

$$\theta_{ik}^{t} = \pi_{i0k} + \pi_{i1k} \times (t - 1) + \delta_{ik}^{t}$$
$$\pi_{i0k} = \beta_{0k} + \nu_{i0k}$$
$$\pi_{i1k} = \beta_{1k} + \nu_{i1k}$$

 Three time points, two dimensions, three items per domain (between multidimensionality)



$$\theta_{ik}^{t} = \pi_{i0k} + \pi_{i1k} \times (t - 1) + \delta_{ik}^{t}$$
$$\pi_{i0k} = \beta_{0k} + \nu_{i0k}$$
$$\pi_{i1k} = \beta_{1k} + \nu_{i1k}$$

• The scale of parameters: linking



$$\Pr\left(Y_{ij}^{t}=1|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}^{t},a_{j}^{t},b_{j}^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\exp\left[-(\mathbf{a}_{j}^{t})^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}^{t}+b_{j}^{t}\right]}$$

anchor items must still be embedded and load on every domain \rightarrow link the scale of θ_{ik} across time for all k = 1, ..., K

• The scale of parameters: identifiability



- If all item parameters are unknown: all constraints are required
- If anchor items are precalibrated: only constraint 1 is required

$$\theta_{ik}^{t} = \pi_{i0k} + \pi_{i1k} \times (t - 1) + \delta_{ik}^{t}$$
$$\pi_{i0k} = \beta_{0k} + \nu_{i0k}$$
$$\pi_{i1k} = \beta_{1k} + \nu_{i1k}$$

1.
$$E(\delta_{ik}^t) = 0$$
 for all $t = 1, ..., T$ and $k = 1, ..., K$
2. $\mu_{\pi_{i0k}} = \beta_{0k} = 0$ for all k
(to fix the mean of θ_{ik}^t at time 1 for all k)
3. $\sigma_{\delta_{ik}^1}^2 = c_k$ for all k
(to fix the variance of θ_{ik}^t at time 1 for all k)

• Longitudinal higher-order IRT (L-HO-IRT) model



 $\theta_{ik} = \lambda_k \xi_i + \epsilon_{ik}$ Disturbance to (uncorrelated

ik Disturbance term: residual ability not explained by
$$\xi$$
 (uncorrelated across domains \rightarrow diagonal covariance matrix)

1.1.1.1.1.1.1

$$\Pr(Y_{ij}^{t} = 1 | \xi_{i}^{t}, a_{j}^{t}, b_{j}^{t}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-a_{j}^{t}(\xi_{i}^{t} - b_{j}^{t})]}$$

27

• Longitudinal higher-order IRT (L-HO-IRT) model



 $\theta_{ik} = \lambda_k \xi_i + \epsilon_{ik}$

The L-HO-IRT model is nested within the L-MIRT model:

1. θ_1 and θ_2 can be uncorrelated in L-MIRT

2. L-HO-IRT restrict the domain-level correlation to be non-zero

• The relationship of the latent traits over time

$$\theta_{ik} = \lambda_k \xi_i + \epsilon_{ik}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_i = \boldsymbol{\lambda} (\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z} \boldsymbol{\nu}_i + \boldsymbol{\delta}_i) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i$$

Example: a simple linear trajectory without any additional covariates

$$\theta_{ik}^{t} = \lambda_{k}\xi_{i}^{t} + \epsilon_{ik}^{t}$$

= $\lambda_{k}(\pi_{0i} + \pi_{1i} \times (t-1) + \delta_{i}^{t}) + \epsilon_{ik}^{t}$
= $\lambda_{k}\pi_{0i} + \lambda_{k}\pi_{1i} \times (t-1) + (\lambda_{k}\delta_{i}^{t} + \epsilon_{ik}^{t})$

the loading remains the same over time (longitudinal measurement invariance)



• Three time points, two domain-specific abilities, three items for each domain



$$\theta_{ik}^{t} = \lambda_{k}\xi_{i}^{t} + \epsilon_{ik}^{t}$$

= $\lambda_{k}\pi_{0i} + \lambda_{k}\pi_{1i} \times (t-1) + (\lambda_{k}\delta_{i}^{t} + \epsilon_{ik}^{t})$

• The scale of parameters

$$\theta_{ik}^{t} = \lambda_{k}\xi_{i}^{t} + \epsilon_{ik}^{t}$$

$$\xi_{i}^{t} = \pi_{0i} + \pi_{1i} \times (t - 1) + \delta_{i}^{t}$$

$$\pi_{0i} = \beta_{0} + \nu_{0i}$$

$$\pi_{1i} = \beta_{1} + \nu_{1i}$$

- 1. $E(\delta_{ik}^t) = 0$ for all t = 1, ..., T2. $\mu_{\pi_{0i}} = \beta_0 = 0$ (to fix the mean of ξ_i^t at time 1) 3. $E(\epsilon_{ik}^t) = 0$ for all t = 1, ..., T and k = 1, ..., K4. Fix the residual variances at time 1: $\sigma_{\epsilon_{ik}^1}^2 = c_k^1$
- 5. Set one of the loading λ_k for some k to a constant (as a reference indicator)
- If all item parameters are unknown: all constraints are required
- If anchor items are precalibrated: only constraint 1,3,5 are required



Model Estimation

 Can be viewed as a multilevel LGC model with the lowest level represented by categorical indicators

integrate the likelihood over the distribution of random effects

1. Marginal likelihood estimation

ANALYSIS: TYPE = GENERAL; ESTIMATOR = MLR; LINK = LOGIT; INTEGRATION = MONTECARLO;

2. Markov chain Monte Carlo method

```
ANALYSIS: ESTIMATOR = BAYES;
CHAINS = 1;
FBITER = 50000;
POINT = MEAN;
```



A Real Data Example

- Data
 - math assessments (2009 2012): 327 students (grades 3 6)
 - five-dimensional, simple structure test with precalibrated item parameters
 - 2009: 57 items (with 23, 9, 7, 11, and 7 items, respectively, measuring each dimension)
 - 2010-2012: 52 items (with 23, 9, 7, 11, and 7 items, respectively, measuring each dimension)
 - precalibrated anchor items were embedded within each of the five dimensions across all 4 years
- Model
 - assume to have only random intercepts and slopes
 - follow two-parameters IRT model
- Estimation
 - MCMC with 30,000 iterations and half of them are discarded as burn-in

Structural Model Parameter Estimates for Three Different Models

Results

Models	Fixed Effects NP $(\beta_0 \ \beta_1)$	Random Effects $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\pi_{0i}}^2 \\ \sigma_{\pi_{0i}\pi_{1i}} & \sigma_{\pi_{1i}}^2 \end{pmatrix}$ Others	$\begin{array}{l} 0 \rightarrow \text{intercept} \\ 1 \rightarrow \text{slope} \end{array}$
L-UIRT	275 (653 .472)	$\begin{pmatrix} .081 \\008 & .005 \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta_{i}}^{2} = (.048 & .063 & .046 & .015)$	-
L-MIRT	$351 \begin{pmatrix}652 & .509 \\633 & .428 \\421 & .356 \\659 & .444 \\795 & .524 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} .145 & .014 \\ .255 & .039 \\ .267 & .038 \\ .169 & .042 \\ .120 & .017 \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta_{i}}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} .051 & .087 & .052 & .019 \\ .063 & .066 & .025 & .037 \\ .032 & .048 & .009 & .029 \\ .035 & .055 & .042 & .031 \\ .054 & .010 & .031 & .013 \end{pmatrix}$	
L-HO-IRT	299 (702 .514)	$\begin{pmatrix} .102 \\009 & .007 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1^* \\ .768 \\ .734 \\ .882 \\ .935 \end{pmatrix}$	
		$oldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta_i}^2 = (\ .052 \ \ .071 \ \ .061 \ \ .015 \)$	
		$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\epsilon_i}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} .028 & .031 & .016 & .017 \\ .121 & .091 & .088 & .044 \\ .018 & .031 & .013 & .011 \\ .059 & .020 & .018 & .034 \\ .059 & .012 & .013 & .008 \end{pmatrix}$	

、イイ

Note. NP denotes the number of free parameters in each model. The covariances between random intercepts and random slopes from the L-MIRT model are omitted to save space because they are between -.01 and .01. "*" denotes a fixed constant. IRT = item response theory; L-UIRT = longitudinal unidimensional IRT; L-MIRT = longitudinal multidimensional IRT; L-HO-IRT = longitudinal higher order IRT.

Structural Model Parameter Estimates for Three Different Models

Results

		Random Effects $\left(\sigma^2 \right)$	$0 \rightarrow \text{intercept}$ $1 \rightarrow \text{slope}$
Models	$\begin{array}{c} Fixed \ Effects \\ NP \qquad (\ \beta_0 \beta_1 \) \end{array}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\pi_{0i}} \\ \sigma_{\pi_{0i}\pi_{1i}} & \sigma_{\pi_{1i}}^2 \end{pmatrix}$ Others	
L-UIRT	275 (653 .472)	$\begin{pmatrix} .081 \\008 & .005 \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta_{l}}^{2} = (.048 & .063 & .046 & .015)$	_
L-MIRT	$351 \begin{pmatrix}652 & .509 \\633 & .428 \\421 & .356 \\659 & .444 \\795 & .524 \end{pmatrix}$	$ \begin{pmatrix} .145 & .014 \\ .255 & .039 \\ .267 & .038 \\ .169 & .042 \\ .120 & .017 \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta_{i}}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} .051 & .087 & .052 & .019 \\ .063 & .066 & .025 & .037 \\ .032 & .048 & .009 & .029 \\ .035 & .055 & .042 & .031 \\ .054 & .010 & .031 & .013 \end{pmatrix} $	
L-HO-IRT	⁷ 299 (702 .514)	$\begin{pmatrix} .102 \\009 & .007 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1^* \\ .768 \\ .734 \\ .882 \\ .935 \end{pmatrix}$	
		$\mathbf{\sigma}_{\delta_l}^2 = (.052 .071 .061 .015)$)
		$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\epsilon_i}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} .028 & .031 & .016 & .017 \\ .121 & .091 & .088 & .044 \\ .018 & .031 & .013 & .011 \\ .059 & .020 & .018 & .034 \\ .059 & .012 & .013 & .008 \end{pmatrix}$	

Note. NP denotes the number of free parameters in each model. The covariances between random intercepts and random slopes from the L-MIRT model are omitted to save space because they are between -.01 and .01. "*" denotes a fixed constant. IRT = item response theory; L-UIRT = longitudinal unidimensional IRT; L-MIRT = longitudinal multidimensional IRT; L-HO-IRT = longitudinal higher order IRT.

Structural Model Parameter Estimates for Three Different Models

Results

		Random Effects	$0 \rightarrow intercept$
Models	$\begin{array}{c} Fixed \ Effects \\ NP \qquad (\ \beta_0 \beta_1 \) \end{array}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\pi_{0i}}^2 & \\ \sigma_{\pi_{0i}\pi_{1i}} & \sigma_{\pi_{1i}}^2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{Others}$	1 → slope
L-UIRT	275 (653 .472)	$\begin{pmatrix} .081 \\008 & .005 \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta_{i}}^{2} = (\ .048 & .063 & .046 & .015)$	
L-MIRT	$351 \begin{pmatrix}652 & .509 \\633 & .428 \\421 & .356 \\659 & .444 \\795 & .524 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} .145 & .014 \\ .255 & .039 \\ .267 & .038 \\ .169 & .042 \\ .120 & .017 \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta_{l}}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} .051 & .087 & .052 & .019 \\ .063 & .066 & .025 & .037 \\ .032 & .048 & .009 & .029 \\ .035 & .055 & .042 & .031 \\ .054 & .010 & .031 & .013 \end{pmatrix}$	
L-HO-IRT	299 (702 .514)	$\begin{pmatrix} .102 \\009 & .007 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1^* \\ .768 \\ .734 \\ .882 \\ .935 \end{pmatrix}$	
		${oldsymbol \sigma}^2_{\delta_i} = (\ .052 \ \ .071 \ \ .061 \ \ .015 \)$	
		$oldsymbol{\sigma}_{\epsilon_i}^2 = egin{pmatrix} .028 & .031 & .016 & .017 \ .121 & .091 & .088 & .044 \ .018 & .031 & .013 & .011 \ .059 & .020 & .018 & .034 \ .059 & .012 & .013 & .008 \end{pmatrix}$	

35

Note. NP denotes the number of free parameters in each model. The covariances between random intercepts and random slopes from the L-MIRT model are omitted to save space because they are between -.01 and .01. "*" denotes a fixed constant. IRT = item response theory; L-UIRT = longitudinal unidimensional IRT; L-MIRT = longitudinal multidimensional IRT; L-HO-IRT = longitudinal higher order IRT.

Results



FIGURE 4. A spaghetti plot, illustrating the linear trend of ξ (overall-level ability) on math between Grades 3 and 6 for N = 327 students. The left panel is obtained from the longitudinal higher order item response theory model, and the right panel is from the longitudinal unidimensional item response theory model. The bolded, slanted line in the center of the spaghetti depicts the estimated fixed effect of time.

Results



FIGURE 5. A spaghetti plot, illustrating the linear trend of θ (domain-level ability) on math between Grades 3 and 6 for N = 327 students. The upper panels are obtained from the longitudinal higher order item response theory model, and the lower panels are from the longitudinal multidimensional item response theory model. The bolded, slanted line in the center of the spaghetti depicts the estimated fixed effect of time.

Conclusion

38

- the L-UIRT model is the simplest
- the L-MIRT model describes change in multiple, correlated latent traits
- the L-HO-IRT model (1) simultaneously models the growth trajectories of both overall- and domain-specific abilities and (2) allows for a shift in domain coverage over time

• Future studies can consider how to model nonlinear growth patterns

School of Education & Information Studies

Thanks for Listening!

Reporter: Yingshi Huang

